



CONDUCTIVITY OF SUPERCONDUCTING CHALCOGENIDES

H.V. da Silveira*

Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", Universidade Estadual de
 Campinas, C.P. 6165, 13100 Campinas, S.P., Brazil e Universidade
 Federal de São Carlos, Departamento de Física, 13560 São Carlos,
 S.P., Brazil

and

Hilda A. Cerdeira†

Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", Universidade Estadual de
 Campinas, 13100 Campinas, S.P., Brazil

(RECEIVED ON NOVEMBER 16th 1983 BY R.C.C. LEITE)

A theoretical study of a superconducting transition in many valley semiconductors, allowing interband electron-electron pairing, is presented. The order parameter, at very low temperatures, and the ac-conductivity are calculated and shown to reproduce known results in the limit when the "dielectric gap" is going to zero.

The problem of superconducting transitions in many-valley semiconductors and semimetals was first studied by Gurevich et al⁽¹⁾ and by Cohen⁽²⁾. The transition was expected since intervalley phonon processes (large momentum transfer) would give a large attractive electron-electron interaction, because the screening in this case is less than in intravalley processes. Furthermore, the existence of many equivalent valleys in a degenerate semiconductor provides a large number of states into which an electron could scatter through an intervalley phonon processes. Based on those assumptions superconducting transitions were sought in several materials and found in GeTe, SnTe, SrTiO₃, Ba_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃, Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ and TlBiTe₂ with very low transition temperatures and small superconducting gaps⁽³⁾. However, attempts to observe bulk superconductivity in the lead chalcogenides were unsuccessful. Recently, the subject of superconductivity in many valley semiconductors gained new life with the report of Chernik and Lykov⁽⁴⁾ who found that PbTe doped with Tl has a superconducting transition at temperatures as high as 1.4 K. On the theoretical side, however, very little was achieved aside from the static properties of the system.^(1,2,5,6)

In this work we present a calculation of the a.c.-conductivity of superconducting semiconductors, based on a BCS type interaction of electrons in different valleys. We have

neglected intravalley electron pairing since screening would be strong. The Hamiltonian of the system for two equivalent valleys can be written as:

$$H = \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \int \epsilon_{\alpha}(\vec{p}) \Psi_{\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} + \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \lambda \int \Psi_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \Psi_{2\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}') \Psi_{2\sigma}(\vec{r}') \Psi_{1\sigma}(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} d\vec{r}'$$

where the indices α, σ and σ' are the band and spin indices respectively, $\epsilon_{\alpha}(\vec{p})$ is the single particle energy in band α , measured from the Fermi surface, with minima located at $\pm k_L$. λ is the interaction strength between electrons of equivalent bands, and $\Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{r})$ is the electron field operator (We are using $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$). The extension to n equivalent valleys is straightforward and can be carried as proposed in Ref. 2. We define a set of Green's functions for such a system as:

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = -i \langle \tau (\Psi_{\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \Psi_{\beta\sigma}(\vec{x}')) \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = -i \langle \tau (\Psi_{\alpha,-\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \Psi_{\beta,\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}')) \rangle$$

where τ is the time ordering operator. Considering the two equivalent valleys, as minima of only one band separated by a very high barrier, the electrons which form pairs are those near the Fermi surface with momentum \vec{p} and $-\vec{p}$ respectively. Under this assumption:

$$\epsilon_1(\vec{p}) = \frac{(\vec{p}-\vec{k}_L)^2 - p_F^2}{2m^*} = \epsilon_2(-\vec{p}) = \frac{(-\vec{p}+\vec{k}_L)^2 - p_F^2}{2m^*} \quad (3)$$

* CAPES Fellow.

† CNPq Senior Research Fellow.

where m^* is the electronic effective mass. Calling $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \lambda n$, with

$$n_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_{\alpha\sigma}^+(\vec{x}) \Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{x}) \rangle,$$

we find:

$$G_{11}(\vec{p}, \omega) = [(\omega^2 - \epsilon^2)(\omega + \epsilon) + \Delta_d^2(\omega - \epsilon) - \Delta_2^2(\omega + \epsilon)] \cdot D^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

$$G_{21}(\vec{p}, \omega) = i[\Delta_d^*(\omega + \epsilon)^2 + \Delta_d^3 + \Delta_d^* \Delta_2^2] \cdot D^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

$$F_{21}^+(\vec{p}, \omega) = -\Delta_2^*[\omega^2 + \epsilon^2 + \Delta_d^2 + \Delta_2^2] \cdot D^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

$$F_{11}^+(\vec{p}, \omega) = -\Delta_d^*[\Delta_2(\omega + \epsilon) - \Delta_2^*(\omega - \epsilon)] \cdot D^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

$$D = (\omega^2 - \epsilon^2)^2 + 2\Delta_d^2(\omega^2 + \epsilon^2) - 2\Delta_2^2(\omega^2 - \epsilon^2) + (\Delta_d^2 + \Delta_2^2)^2, \quad (8)$$

with:

$$\Delta_d = \lambda G_{21}(0) \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta_2^* = \lambda F_{21}^+(0). \quad (10)$$

Solving this set of functions self-consistently we find for the quasi-particle spectrum:

$$\omega = \pm (\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta_d^2} \pm i \Delta_d) \quad (11)$$

At zero temperature, the order parameter satisfies:

$$1 = \frac{\lambda}{(2\pi)^2 |v_F - \lambda \bar{v}_n|} \ln \left| \frac{4(\omega_c - i\Delta_d)^2 - \Delta_2^2}{\Delta_2^2} \right| \quad (12)$$

with ω_c , a cut-off frequency. For finite temperature, the expression becomes:

$$1 = \frac{-\lambda}{(2\pi)^2 |v_F - \lambda \bar{v}_n|} \int_0^{\omega_c} \frac{d\epsilon}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta_d^2}} \left[\operatorname{tgh} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta_d^2} + i\Delta_d}{2T} \right) + \right.$$

$$\left. + \operatorname{tgh} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta_d^2} - i\Delta_d}{2T} \right) \right].$$

Both results reduce to the BCS limit, for $\Delta_d \rightarrow 0$.⁽⁷⁾ Δ_d , known as the "dielectric gap", can be interpreted as an inverse lifetime of the quasi-particle produced by the competition between forming an electron-electron pair, or an electron-hole pair.

Next we calculate the response function to an ac-field. Following the procedure, as well as the notation of Ref. 7, we have found the response function to be:

$$Q(\vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{3T\pi^2}{4v_F |k|} \sum_{\omega_n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\Delta_2^2(\beta^+ + \beta^-)(\gamma^+ + \gamma^-)}{\beta^+ \beta^- \gamma^+ \gamma^-} - \left(\frac{\omega - \Delta_d}{\gamma^-} + \frac{\omega + \Delta_d}{\gamma^+} \right) \left(\frac{\omega - \Delta_d}{\beta^-} + \frac{\omega + \Delta_d}{\beta^+} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (13)$$

here $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T$, and

$$\beta^{\pm} = \sqrt{(\omega_{\pm} \pm \Delta_d)^2 + \Delta_2^2} \quad (14)$$

$$\gamma^{\pm} = \sqrt{(\omega_{\pm} \pm \Delta_d)^2 + \Delta_2^2} \quad (15)$$

In conclusion, we have found an analytic expression for the response function, which reproduces well known results⁽⁷⁾ when the dielectric gap goes to zero. Eq. (13) can be used to obtain the resistivity to compare with the measurements in GeTe⁽³⁾ and on PbTe:Te⁽⁴⁾. Extensions of this work are in progress.

Acknowledgements - We are grateful to Prof. Y. Kurihara for very helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. V.L. GUREVICH, A.I. LARKIN and YU V. FIRSOV, Sov. Phys. Sol. State 4, 131 (1962).
2. M.L. COHEN, Phys. Rev. 134A, 511 (1964).
3. J.L. SMITH and P.J. STILES, J. Low Temp. Phys. 26, 101 (1977); R.A. HEIN, J.W. GIBSON, R. MAZELSKY, R.C. MILLER and J.K. HULM, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 320 (1964); N.M. BUILOVA and V.B. SANDOMIRSKII, Sov. Phys. Uspekhi 12, 64 (1969) and references therein.
4. I.A. CHERNIK and S.N. LYKOV, Sov. Phys. Sol. State 23, 817 (1981).
5. YU V. KOPAEV, Sov. Phys. JETP 31, 544 (1970).
6. C.S. KOONCE and M.L. COHEN, Phys. Rev. 177, 707 (1969); P.B. ALLEN and M.L. COHEN, Phys. Rev. 177, 704 (1969).
7. A.A. ABRIKOSOV, L.P. GORKOV and I.E. DZYLOSHINSKII, "Quantum Field Theoretical Methods on Statistical Physics", Sec. 37 (Pergamon Press, 1965).